सीमाशुल्क(अपील) आयुक्तकाकार्यालय,

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS (APPEALS),अहमदाबाद AHMEDABAD,

चौथी मंज़िल 4th Floor, हडकोभवनHUDCO Bhavan, ईश्वर भुवन रोड़ IshwarBhuvan Road,

नवरंगपुरा Navrangpura, अहमदाबाद Ahmedabad – 380 009

दूरभाषक्रमांक Tel. No. 079-26589281

DIN - 20250671MN000000FDBE

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| क | फ़ाइलसंख्या FILE NO. | S/49-394/CUS/JMN/2024-25 |
| ख | अपीलआदेशसंख्या ORDER-IN-APPEAL NO. (सीमाशुल्कअधिनियम, 1962 की धारा 128 क के अंतर्गत)(UNDER SECTION 128A OF THE CUSTOMS ACT, 1962) : | JMN-CUSTM-000-APP-037-25-26 |
| ग | पारितकर्ता PASSED BY | Shri Amit Gupta<br>Commissioner of Customs (Appeals),<br>Ahmedabad |
| घ | दिनांक DATE | 26.06.2025 |
| ङ | उदभूतअपीलआदेशकीसं. वदिनांक ARISING OUT OF ORDER-IN-ORIGINAL NO. | FAO No 1091/SBY/2024-25 dated 29.08.2024 |
| च | अपीलआदेशजारीकरनेकीदिनांक ORDER- IN-APPEAL ISSUED ON: | 26.06.2025 |
| छ | अपीलकर्ताकानामवपता NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE APPELLANT: | M/s Honey Ship Breaking Pvt. Ltd., Plot No 103, Sosiya Ship Breaking Yard, Sosiya, P.O. Manar, Dist Bhavnagar |

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. | यहप्रतिउसव्यक्तिकेनिजीउपयोगकेलिएमुफ्तमेंदीजातीहैजिनकेनामयहजारीकियागयाहै. |
| | This copy is granted free of cost for the private use of the person to whom it is issued. |
| 2. | सीमाशुल्कअधिनियम 1962 कीधारा 129 डीडी (1) (यथासंशोधित) केअधीननिम्नलिखितश्रेणियोंकेमामलोंकेसम्बन्धमेंकोईव्यक्तिइसआदेशसेअपनेकोआहतमहसूसकरताहोतोइसआदेशकीप्राप्तिकीतारीखसे 3 महीनेकेअंदरअपरसचिव/संयुक्तसचिव (आवेदनसंशोधन),वित्तमंत्रालय, (राजस्वविभाग) संसदमार्ग,नईदिल्लीकोपुनरीक्षणआवेदनप्रस्तुतकरसकतेहै. |

| | |
|---|---|
| | Under Section 129 DD(1) of the Customs Act, 1962 (as amended), in respect of the following categories of cases, any person aggrieved by this order can prefer a Revision Application to The Additional Secretary/Joint Secretary (Revision Application), Ministry of Finance, (Department of Revenue) Parliament Street, New Delhi within 3 months from the date of communication of the order. |
| | निम्नलिखितसम्बन्धितआदेश/Order relating to : |
| (क) | बैगेज़केरूपमेंआयातितकोईमाल. |
| (a) | any goods imported on baggage. |
| (ख) | भारतमेंआयातकरनेहेतुकिसीवाहनमेंलादागयालेकिनभारतमेंउनकेगन्तव्यस्थानपरउतारेनगएमालयाउसगन्तव्य स्थानपरउतारेजानेकेलिएअपेक्षितमालउतारेनजानेपरयाउसगन्तव्यस्थानपरउतारेगएमालकीमात्रामेंअपेक्षितमालसे कमीहो. |
| (b) | any goods loaded in a conveyance for importation into India, but which are not unloaded at their place of destination in India or so much of the quantity of such goods as has not been unloaded at any such destination if goods unloaded at such destination are short of the quantity required to be unloaded at that destination. |
| (ग) | सीमाशुल्कअधिनियम, 1962 केअध्यायX तथाउसकेअधीनबनाएगएनियमोंकेतहतशुल्कवापसीकीअदायगी. |
| (c) | Payment of drawback as provided in Chapter X of Customs Act, 1962 and the rules made thereunder. |
| 3. | पुनरीक्षणआवेदनपत्रसंगतनियमावलीमेंविनिर्दिष्टप्रारूपमेंप्रस्तुतकरनाहोगाजिसकेअन्तर्गतउसकीजांचकीजाएगी औरउसकेसाथनिम्नलिखितकागजातसंलग्नहोनेचाहिए : |
| | The revision application should be in such form and shall be verified in such manner as may be specified in the relevant rules and should be accompanied by : |
| (क) | कोर्टफीएक्ट,1870केमदसं. 6 अनुसूची 1 केअधीननिर्धारितकिएगएअनुसारइसआदेशकी 4 प्रतियां,जिसकीएकप्रतिमेंपचासपैसेकीन्यायालयशुल्कटिकटलगाहोनाचाहिए. |
| (a) | 4 copies of this order, bearing Court Fee Stamp of paise fifty only in one copy as prescribed under Schedule 1 item 6 of the Court Fee Act, 1870. |
| (ख) | सम्बद्धदस्तावेज़ोंकेअलावासाथमूलआदेशकी 4 प्रतियां,यदिहो |
| (b) | 4 copies of the Order-in-Original, in addition to relevant documents, if any |
| (ग) | पुनरीक्षणकेलिएआवेदनकी 4 प्रतियां |
| (c) | 4 copies of the Application for Revision. |
| (घ) | पुनरीक्षणआवेदनदायरकरनेकेलिएसीमाशुल्कअधिनियम, 1962 (यथासंशोधित) मेंनिर्धारितफीसजोअन्यरसीद,फीस,दण्ड,जब्तीऔरविविधमदोंकेशीर्षकेअधीनआताहैमेंरु. 200/- (रूपएदोसौमात्र)यारु.1000/-(रूपएएकहज़ारमात्र ),जैसाभीमामलाहो,सेसम्बन्धितभुगतानकेप्रमाणिकचलानटी.आर.6 कीदोप्रतियां. यदिशुल्क,मांगागयाब्याज,लगायागयादंडकीराशिऔररूपएएकलाखयाउससेकमहोतोऐसेफीसकेरूपमेंरु.200/- औरयदिएकलाखसेअधिकहोतोफीसकेरूपमेंरु.1000/- |
| (d) | The duplicate copy of the T.R.6 challan evidencing payment of Rs.200/- (Rupees two Hundred only) or Rs.1,000/- (Rupees one thousand only) as the case may be, under the Head of other receipts, fees, fines, forfeitures and Miscellaneous Items being the fee prescribed in the Customs Act, 1962 (as amended) for filing a Revision Application. If the amount of duty and interest demanded, fine or penalty levied is one lakh rupees or less, fees as Rs.200/- and if it is more than one lakh rupees, the fee is Rs.1000/-. |
| 4. | मदसं. 2 केअधीनसूचितमामलोंकेअलावाअन्यमामलोंकेसम्बंधमेंयदिकोईव्यक्तिइसआदेशसेआहतमहसूसकरताहोतोवेसी माशुल्कअधिनियम 1962 कीधारा 129 ए (1) केअधीनफॉर्मसी.ए.-3 मेंसीमाशुल्क,केन्द्रीयउत्पादशुल्कऔरसेवाकरअपीलअधिकरणकेसमक्षनिम्नलिखितपतेपरअपीलकरसकतेहैं |
| | In respect of cases other than these mentioned under item 2 above, any person aggrieved by this order can file an appeal under Section 129 A(1) of the Customs Act, 1962 in form C.A.-3 before the Customs, Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal at the following address : |
| | सीमाशुल्क, केंद्रीयउत्पादशुल्कवसेवाकरअपीलियअधिकरण,पश्चिमीक्षेत्रीयपीठ — **Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal, West Zonal Bench** |
| | दूसरीमंज़िल,बहुमालीभवन,निकटगिरधरनगरपुल,असारवा,अहमदाबाद-380016 — 2nd Floor, Bahumali Bhavan, Nr.Girdhar Nagar Bridge, Asarwa, |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | Ahmedabad-380 016 |
| 5. | **सीमाशुल्कअधिनियम, 1962 कीधारा 129 ए (6) केअधीन,सीमाशुल्कअधिनियम, 1962 कीधारा 129 ए(1)केअधीनअपीलकेसाथनिम्नलिखितशुल्कसंलग्नहोनेचाहिए-** | |
| | Under Section 129 A (6) of the Customs Act, 1962 an appeal under Section 129 A (1) of the Customs Act, 1962 shall be accompanied by a fee of - | |
| **(क)** | **अपीलसेसम्बन्धितमामलेमेंजहांकिसीसीमाशुल्कअधिकारीद्वारामांगागयाशुल्कऔरव्याजतथालगायागयादंडकीरकमपाँचलाखरूपएयाउससेकमहोतोएकहज़ाररुपए.** | |
| (a) | where the amount of duty and interest demanded and penalty levied by any officer of Customs in the case to which the appeal relates is five lakh rupees or less, one thousand rupees; | |
| **(ख)** | **अपीलसेसम्बन्धितमामलेमेंजहांकिसीसीमाशुल्कअधिकारीद्वारामांगागयाशुल्कऔरव्याजतथालगायागयादंडकीरकमपाँचलाखरूपएसेअधिकहोलेकिनरुपयेपचासलाखसेअधिकनहोतो;पांचहज़ाररुपए** | |
| (b) | where the amount of duty and interest demanded and penalty levied by any officer of Customs in the case to which the appeal relates is more than five lakh rupees but not exceeding fifty lakh rupees, five thousand rupees ; | |
| **(ग)** | **अपीलसेसम्बन्धितमामलेमेंजहांकिसीसीमाशुल्कअधिकारीद्वारामांगागयाशुल्कऔरव्याजतथालगायागयादंडकीरकमपचासलाखरूपएसेअधिकहोतो;दसहज़ाररुपए.** | |
| (c) | where the amount of duty and interest demanded and penalty levied by any officer of Customs in the case to which the appeal relates is more than fifty lakh rupees, ten thousand rupees | |
| (घ) | इसआदेशकेविरुद्धअधिकरणकेसामने,मांगेगएशुल्कके 10% अदाकरनेपर,जहांशुल्कयाशुल्कएवंदंडविवादमेंहैं,यादंडके 10% अदाकरनेपर,जहांकेवलदंडविवादमेंहै,अपीलरखाजाएगा। | |
| (d) | An appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute. | |
| 6. | **उक्तअधिनियमकीधारा 129 (ए) केअन्तर्गतअपीलप्राधिकरणकेसमक्षदायरप्रत्येकआवेदनपत्र- (क) रोकआदेशकेलिएयागलतियोंकोसुधारनेकेलिएयाकिसीअन्यप्रयोजनकेलिएकिएगएअपील : - अथवा (ख) अपीलयाआवेदनपत्रकाप्रत्यावर्तनकेलिएदायरआवेदनकेसाथरुपयेपाँचसौकाशुल्कभीसंलग्नहोनेचाहिए.** | |
| | Under section 129 (a) of the said Act, every application made before the Appellate Tribunal-<br>(a) in an appeal for grant of stay or for rectification of mistake or for any other purpose; or<br>(b) for restoration of an appeal or an application shall be accompanied by a fee of five Hundred rupees. | |

## ORDER-IN-APPEAL

M/s. Honey Ship Breaking Pvt. Ltd., Plot No 103, Sosiya Ship Breaking Yard, Sosiya, P.O. Manar, Dist Bhavnagar (hereinafter referred to as "the appellant") have filed the present appeal in terms of Section 128 of the Customs Act, 1962 against the FAO No 1091/SBY/2024-25 dated 29.08.2024 (hereinafter referred to as "the impugned order") passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Customs Division, Bhavnagar (hereinafter referred to as "the adjudicating authority").

2. Briefly stated, facts of the case are that the appellant had imported vessel MV CEBU for breaking up and filed Bill of Entry No. SBY/136/2014-15, dated 13.08.2014 under Section 46 of the Customs Act, 1962. The Bill of Entry was provisionally assessed for want of original document and test result. Vessels coming for breaking up are being classified under CTH 8908. The appellant has classified the vessel in CTH 8908. However, the Fuel and Oil contained inside/ outside the Engine Room Tanks have been classified under Chapter Heads of Chapter 27 and they have paid customs duty accordingly.

2.1 The dispute regarding classification of Fuel and Oil lying in Bunker Tanks inside/outside Engine Room i.e. whether under CTH 2710 or under CTH 8908 along with vessels for breaking up has been resolved by Hon'ble Supreme Court in its Order dated 05.04.2023 passed in Civil Appeal No. 5318-5342/2009. Hon'ble Supreme Court has upheld the common Order No. A/11792-11851/2022 dated 17.10.2022/01.12.2022 passed by CESTAT and also validated the views expressed by the CESTAT therein.

2.2 The adjudicating authority vide impugned order held that fuel & oil contained in Bunker Tanks inside outside Engine Room are liable to be classified under CTH 8908 along with the vessel, as covered under para 2(b) of circular no. 37/96-Cus. Dated 03.07.1996. The remaining fuel and oil i.e. fuel and oil not contained in Bunker Tanks or Engine Room Tanks are liable to be under its respective heading in Chapter 2710.

3. Being aggrieved with the impugned Order, the appellant has filed the present appeal contending on various grounds as mentioned in the grounds of appeal.

4. Shri Rahul Gajera, Advocate, appeared for personal hearing on 19.06.2025 on behalf of the appellant. He reiterated the written submission made at the time of filing appeal.

5. Before going into the merits of the case, it is observed that the date of communication of the impugned order as per appeal memorandum is

03.09.2024 and the present appeal was filed on 12.12.2024, i.e., after 100 days. In this regard, I have gone through the provision of limitations for filing an appeal as specified under Section 128(1) of the Customs Act, 1962. The same is reproduced hereunder:

*"SECTION 128. Appeals to [Commissioner (Appeals)]. — (1) Any person aggrieved by any decision or order passed under this Act by an officer of customs lower in rank than a [Principal Commissioner of Customs or Commissioner of Customs] may appeal to the [Commissioner (Appeals)] [within sixty days] from the date of the communication to him of such decision or order.*

*[Provided that the Commissioner (Appeals) may, if he is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from presenting the appeal within the aforesaid period of sixty days, allow it to be presented within a further period of thirty days.]"*

5.1 As per the legal provisions under Section 128 of the Customs Act, 1962, the appeal has to be filed within 60 days from the date of communication of order. Further, if the Commissioner (Appeals) is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from presenting the appeal within the aforesaid period of 60 days, he can allow it to be presented within a further period of 30 days.

5.2 It will also be relevant to refer to the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of Singh Enterprises – [2008 (221) E.L.T. 163 (S.C.)], wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court had, while interpreting the Section 35 of the Central Excise Act, 1944, which is pari materia to Section 128 of the Customs Act, 1962, held that the appeal has to be filed within 60 days, but in terms of the proviso, further 30 days' time can be granted by the appellate authority to entertain the appeal. The proviso to sub-section (1) of Section 35 makes the position crystal clear that the appellate authority has no power to allow the appeal to be presented beyond the period of 30 days. The relevant para is reproduced below:

**"8.** *The Commissioner of Central Excise (Appeals) as also the Tribunal being creatures of Statute are vested with jurisdiction to condone the delay beyond the permissible period provided under the Statute. The period upto which the prayer for condonation can be accepted is statutorily provided. It was submitted that the logic of Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act, 1963 (in short the 'Limitation Act') can be availed for condonation of delay. The first proviso to Section 35 makes the position clear that the appeal has to be preferred within three months from the date of communication to him of the decision or order. However, if the Commissioner is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from*

*presenting the appeal within the aforesaid period of 60 days, he can allow it to be presented within a further period of 30 days. In other words, this clearly shows that the appeal has to be filed within 60 days but in terms of the proviso further 30 days time can be granted by the appellate authority to entertain the appeal. The proviso to sub-section (1) of Section 35 makes the position crystal clear that the appellate authority has no power to allow the appeal to be presented beyond the period of 30 days. The language used makes the position clear that the legislature intended the appellate authority to entertain the appeal by condoning delay only upto 30 days after the expiry of 60 days which is the normal period for preferring appeal. Therefore, there is complete exclusion of Section 5 of the Limitation Act. The Commissioner and the High Court were therefore justified in holding that there was no power to condone the delay after the expiry of 30 days period."*

5.3 The above view was reiterated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Amchong Tea Estate [2010 (257) E.L.T. 3 (S.C.)]. Further, the Hon'ble High Court of Gujarat in case of Ramesh Vasantbhai Bhojani – [2017 (357) E.L.T. 63 (Guj.)] and Hon'ble Tribunal Bangalore in the case of Shri Abdul Gafoor Vs Commissioner of Customs (Appeals) [2024-TIOL-565-CESTAT-BANG] took a similar view while dealing with Section 128 of the Customs Act, 1962.

5.4 In terms of legal provisions under Section 128 of the Customs Act, 1962 and in light of the judicial pronouncements by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, Hon'ble High Court and Hon'ble Tribunal Bangalore, it is settled proposition of law that the appeals before first appellate authority are required to be filed within 90 days, including the condonable period of 30 days as provided in the statute, and the Commissioner (Appeals) is not empowered to condone any delay beyond 30 days.

5.5 In light of the above observation, I find that the appeal has been filed after 90 days from the date of receipt of the order. I am not empowered to condone the delay in filing the appeal beyond the period specified in Section 128 of the Customs Act, 1962. Hence, the same is held to be time barred.

6. In view of above, I reject appeal on the grounds of limitation without going into the merits of the case.

(AMIT GUPTA)
COMMISSIONER (APPEALS)
CUSTOMS, AHMEDABAD.

सत्यापित/ATTESTED
अधीक्षक/SUPERINTENDENT
सीमा शुल्क (अपील), अहमदाबाद.
CUSTOMS (APPEALS), AHMEDABAD.

By Registered Post A.D.

F. Nos. S/49-394/CUS/JMN/2024-25 1918 Dated –26.06.2025

To,

1. M/s Honey Ship Breaking Pvt. Ltd.,
   Plot No 103, Sosiya Ship Breaking Yard,
   Sosiya, P.O. Manar, Dist Bhavnagar,

**Copy to:**

1. The Chief Commissioner of Customs Gujarat, Customs House, Ahmedabad.
2. The Commissioner of Customs, Customs, Jamnagar.
3. The Deputy/Assistant Commissioner of Customs, Customs Division, Bhavnagar.
4. Guard File